(237) (51)

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR
REVIEWED BY [illegible] DATE 1/24/07
ED [ ] XD [ ] XC. DATE
TS AUTH. REASON(S)
E.O. 12958 EXTENSION MARKINGS [ ]
DECLASSIFIED [ ] RELEASABLE [ ]
RELEASE DENIED [ ]
PA or FOI EXEMPTIONS

CONFIDENTIAL MEMO

TO: NEA - Alfred L. Atherton, Jr.

FROM: NEA/IAI - H. H. Stackhouse

SUBJ: USS LIBERTY Claims

I have discussed with L Ambassador Barbour's letter to you of August 6, 1971, supporting a draft Israeli proposal of a $100,000 token settlement of the outstanding claim for damage to the LIBERTY. L agrees with me that the suggested sum is so small as to clearly call for a ~~friendly~~ scrutinous rejection out of hand. You will find attached ~~an outline of L's thinking which I suggest you incorporate directly into a reply to~~ suggested draft reply to Owen Zurhellen, largely drafted by L. ~~I further suggest that we frame the letter in a way that would allow Owen to leave a copy with the Israelis should he deem it useful to do so. I suggest, too, that we include a paragraph addressed to the public relations aspect asfollows:~~ In sum, we have neither to keep this a quiet matter, nor have a settlement unsatisfactory in so many ways. It would in fact be a mistake in our relations, and it would be a confirming of what is not that even a token.

> Consideration of legal precedent and principle aside, it is ~~most~~ pertinent to note, as well, that our ~~acquiescence~~ agreement to the Israeli suggestion would run the risk of rekindling ~~some~~ U.S. public interest in ~~what remains~~ a ~~potentially very~~ touchy ~~domestic~~ issue, which is of interest to both the State Department and Israel. Although the matter has been ~~relatively~~ quiet for some time, a ~~large~~ body of public sentiment exists which could be expected to protest ~~unanimously~~ what might ~~easily~~

CONFIDENTIAL

be interpreted as our gratuitous abandonment of a position whose merit the GOI, itself, originally ~~rushed~~ quickly to recognized. Besides exposing the Department to criticism ~~that would be extremely difficult to defend against~~, we believe the ~~readily anticipated~~ adverse public reaction would also be contrary to the best interests of Israel. In this regard, the average American taxpayer would likely find it hard to reconcile a settlement of less than two cents on the dollar in the case of the LIBERTY with our ~~generous financial support~~ present large scale support for Israel over the years. Moreover, taxpayer enthusiasm for future United States financial assistance to Israel of the order which the Israelis are currently seeking would, in our view, be sharply reduced.

Attachment:

L's Outline

NEA/IAI:GRCella
8/23/71

DECLASSIFIED